UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

)	
In re:)	
)	Case No. 13-53846
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN)	
	,)	Chapter 9
Debtor	,)	•
)	Hon. Steven W. Rhodes
	Ś	

EX PARTE MOTION OF THE MACOMB INTERCEPTOR DRAIN DRAINAGE DISTRICT FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING IT TO FILE A REPLY BRIEF IN EXCESS OF PAGE LIMIT

The Macomb Interceptor Drain Drainage District (the "MIDDD"), a creditor and party in interest in the above-captioned Chapter 9 bankruptcy case, hereby moves the Court for the entry of an order authorizing MIDDD to file the *Reply to Objections to Motion for Temporary*Allowance of Claim of the Macomb Interceptor Drain Drainage District Pursuant to Rule

3018(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure for Purposes of Accepting or Rejecting the Debtor's Fourth Amended Plan of Adjustment (the "Reply") in excess of the page limitations of Rule 9014-1(e) of the Local Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Michigan (the "Local Rules"), to the extent the limitation applies. In support of this motion, the MIDDD states as follows:

1. Although MIDDD has made a concerted effort to keep its reply succinct, MIDDD requires eleven pages to fully respond to the issues raised by two separate objections to its Bankruptcy Rule 3018 Motion: (i) the *Response of the City of Detroit to Motion for Temporary Allowance of the Macomb Interceptor Drain Drainage District Pursuant to Rule 3018(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure for Purposes of Accepting or Rejecting the Debtor's*

Fourth Amended Plan of Adjustment [Docket No. 5312] and (ii) the Objection of the Official Committee of Retirees to Motion for Temporary Allowance of Claim of the Macomb Interceptor Drain Drainage District Pursuant to Rule 3018(a) [Docket No. 5315]. Because MIDDD must respond to numerous legal issues raised by both objectors, MIDDD requires more than 5 pages with which to do so.

- 2. At eleven pages, the Reply exceeds the page limitations provided in the Local Rules. See Local Rule 9014-1(e) (providing a five-page limitation for reply briefs).
- 3. To the extent this Local Rule applies to the Reply, MIDDD requests entry of an order expanding the applicable page limitation.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

WHEREFORE, MIDDD respectfully requests that this Court (i) enter an order substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1, granting the relief sought herein; and (ii) grant such other and further relief to MIDDD as the Court may deem proper.

Dated: June 20, 2014

DECHERT LLP

By: /s/ Allan S. Brilliant
Allan S. Brilliant
Stephen M. Wolpert
1095 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10016
Telephone: (212) 698-3500
Facsimile: (212) 698-3599

allan.brilliant@dechert.com

stephen.wolpert@dechert.com

Attorneys for Macomb Interceptor Drain Drainage District Respectfully submitted,

KIRK, HUTH, LANGE & BADALAMENTI

By: /s/ Raechel M. Badalamenti (P64361)
Raechel M. Badalamenti
Robert T. Carollo Jr.
19500 Hall Road, Suite 100
Clinton Township, MI 48038
Telephone: (586) 412-4900
Facsimile: (586) 412-4949
rbadalamenti@KHLBlaw.com

Attorneys for Macomb Interceptor Drain Drainage District

EXHIBIT 1

Proposed Form of Order

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re: CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN Debtor)) Case No. 13-53846) Chapter 9) Hon. Steven W. Rhodes
DRAINAGE DISTRICT TO FILE A	NG THE MACOMB INTERCEPTOR DRAIN A REPLY BRIEF IN EXCESS OF PAGE LIMIT
Ç	rief in Excess of Page Limit (the "Motion") ¹ and the
Allowance of Claim of the Macomb Interca 3018(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankrupto	te its Reply to Objections to Motion for Temporary eptor Drain Drainage District Pursuant to Rule by Procedure for Purposes of Accepting or Rejecting sustment in excess of the applicable page limitation.
	THE HONORABLE STEVEN RHODES UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.